WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

	U	nited States of America v.	ORDER OF	F DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	Fe	rnando Valenzuela-Lara	_ Case Number:	CR-13-0739-03-PHX-DGC
			U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention (Check one or both, as applicable.)	on hearing has been held. I conclude
	•	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.		
	•	ndant pending trial in this case.	the defendant is a flight	risk and require the detention of the
	(1)	There is probable cause to be	lieve that the defendant ha	s committed
		in 21 U.S.C. §§ 801 et s	naximum term of imprisonn seq., 951 et seq, or 46 U.S S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or	
		an offense listed in 18 L	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ederal crimes of terrorism) for which a
		<u> </u>	ninor victim prescribed in _	
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutte combination of conditions will and the safety of the communications.	reasonably assure the app	shed by finding 1 that no condition or searance of the defendant as required
		A	Alternative Findings	
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions w reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.		
	(2)	No condition or combination o community.	f conditions will reasonabl	y assure the safety of others and the
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the injure, or intimidate a prospect		attempt to obstruct justice; or threaten,
		PART II WRITTEN STA	ATEMENT OF REASONS heck one or both, as applicable.)	FOR DETENTION
	(1)	I find that the credible testimor and convincing evidence as to	ny and information submitt danger that:	ed at the hearing establishes by clear

¹Insert as applicable: Title 18, § 1201 (kidnapping), § 1591 (sex trafficking), § 2241 (aggravated sexual abuse), § 2242 (sexual abuse), § 2244(a)(1) (abusive sexual contact), § 2245 (offenses resulting in death), § 2251 (sexual exploitation of children), § 2251A (selling or buying of children), § 2252 et seq. (certain activities relating to material involving sexual exploitation of minors), § 2252A et seq. (certain activities relating to material constituting or containing child pornography), § 2260 (production of sexually explicit depictions of minors for importation into the U.S.), § 2421 (transportation for prostitution or a criminal sexual activity offense), § 2422 (coercion or enticement for a criminal sexual activity), § 2423 (transportation of minors with intent to engage in criminal sexual activity), § 2425 (use of interstate

Case 2:13-cr-00739-DGC Document 20 Filed 05/29/13 Page 2 of 3

 and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a boreasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the Unit States and has substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement 	(2)	I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:			
If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a boreasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement of	×	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.			
and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a boreasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the Unit States and has substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement of	\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.			
The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a boreasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the Unit States and has substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement of		If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.			
reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the Unit States and has substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforceme The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximu of The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except: Defendant submitted the issue of detention.		The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.			
 □ The defendant lives and works in Mexico. □ The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the Unit States and has substantial family ties to Mexico. □ There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. □ The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforceme □ The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except: Defendant submitted the issue of detention. 		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
 The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the Unit States and has substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement of incarceration and a maximum of The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except: Defendant submitted the issue of detention. 	\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
States and has substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement of incarceration and a maximum of The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except: Defendant submitted the issue of detention.		The defendant lives and works in Mexico.			
The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except: Defendant submitted the issue of detention.		The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except: Defendant submitted the issue of detention.		There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
of The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except: Defendant submitted the issue of detention.		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
Defendant submitted the issue of detention.		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of			
In addition:	The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except: <u>Defendant submitted the issue of detention.</u>				
	In ad	dition:			

The Court incorporates by reference the findings in the Pretrial Services Report which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Judge. Pursuant to Rule 59, FED.R.CRIM.P., Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the District Court. Failure to timely file objections may waive the right to review. See Rule 59, FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Judge to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 29th day of May, 2013.

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge